

**HUMANE VOTERS OF ARIZONA
2006 GENERAL ELECTION
STATE CANDIDATE QUESTIONNAIRE**

Candidate _____ Martin Monroe

State Office

Legislative Office: District_10
___ House of Representatives_____ Senate___x

Staff Contact___ I'm it
_____ Phone_ 602-843-6119
_____ Email democratsin2006@yahoo.com

Animals play an important role in the lives of many Arizonans, who consistently demonstrate concern for animal protection. Citizens look to the government to protect animals from inhumane treatment.

Humane-minded citizens care deeply about the positions their elected officials take on animal protection issues. Humane Voters of Arizona appreciates your input on this 2006 State Candidate Questionnaire. HVA will be endorsing candidates for the general election based upon voting records and response to this questionnaire.

For more information on any of the issues, please visit the following websites:
www.adlaz.org (Animal Defense League of Arizona)
www.greyhoundnetworknews.org (Greyhound Network News)
www.azhumane.org (Arizona Humane Society)
www.yesonproposition204.com (Humane Farming Initiative)
www.protectchandler.org (Covance information)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Have you ever been involved in any animal protection issues? If so, please name issues and any actions taken. Yes.

Are there any animal protection programs or issues of interest that you plan to address while in office?

My door will always be open. We have a real problem with wild cats on our property and can never find any help, due to the huge scope of the problem.

Do you have, or have you had in the past, any companion animals that have had an impact on you?

Yes I had a Border Collie when I was a boy. He was the greatest, but a sheep farmer shot and killed him when I was 15. The farmer said he was stealing his sheep. I guess the farmer was dumber than his sheep and didn't understand that my dog was a natural born sheep herding breed.

Do you financially or philosophically support any local or national animal protection organizations? If so, which ones?

**National Sierra Club
National Wildlife Federation
Nature Conservancy
National Resources Defense Council
Water Savers
Ocean Conservancy
World Wildlife Fund
Defenders of Wildlife**

CRUELTY TO ANIMALS

ANIMAL ABUSE LAWS

The link between cruelty to animals and violence toward humans is well documented. People who have committed acts of violence on animals are more likely to act out violently towards people in a number of scenarios and psychological profiles.

Do you believe that laws against animal cruelty should be strongly enforced? Yes

FARM ANIMALS

Gestation crates for pregnant pigs and veal crates for calves are among the cruelest confinement techniques used on factory farms—both in terms of the intensity and duration of confinement. Keeping animals so restrictively crated that they cannot even turn around for nearly four months straight is patently inhumane. And, with the industrialization of pork production, gestation crates are becoming more and more prevalent in the United States, although they, like veal crates, have been banned throughout Europe for their inherent cruelty.

Over 1100 Arizona volunteers gathered signatures for a citizens' initiative that will appear on the November ballot. Proposition 204 simply ensures that animals, such as pigs and calves that are raised for food, have enough room to turn around, lie down and extend their limbs in their pens.

Do you support Proposition 204?

Yes, after learning more about the issue, and discovery of deceptions, the fact that Nathan Sproul is involved, and the request from my neighbors Bob and Nan Gacey.

Would you be willing to endorse this ballot measure? Yes.

If you do not support Proposition 204, please state your reason for opposition.

GREYHOUND RACING

Dog racing in Arizona has been subsidized by the state and the taxpayers for the last ten years, since the passage of a mega-tax break bill for the failing dog tracks in 1994. Tucson Greyhound Park, the first track to benefit from the bill, has not paid any pari-mutuel taxes to the state since 1996. The Tucson track's out-of-state-owners have reaped nearly \$50 million in gross profits in the last ten years. Phoenix Greyhound Park, which paid \$5.8 million in pari-mutuel taxes in 1995, saw its tax reduced to zero by 2005. Because the tax credits are carried over from year to year, neither track will ever pay taxes again.

The Phoenix track financially supports an on-site adoption program; the Tucson track does not provide financial support to any of Tucson's non-profit adoption organizations.

The greyhound racing industry has been in a steep decline since 1991 and is unlikely to recover; 24 tracks across the country have closed, and many of them have been demolished. Eight states have banned greyhound racing because of the inherent cruelty involved in dog racing. Currently the Arizona Department of Racing is investigating Tucson Greyhound Park for the disappearance of 100 to 200 racing dogs.

Would you be willing to reinstate legislation requiring that dog tracks pay pari-mutuel taxes to the state?

Dog tracks are usually owned by questionable individuals, as are most gambling organizations whom seem to avoid paying reasonable taxes. I would support taxation of such organizations.

What steps would you take to ensure the welfare of racing dogs in Arizona?

I would review current laws and implement enforcement. Arizona usually has adequate laws in place, but like immigration, the legislature will not enforce them.

WILDLIFE AND HABITAT

ARIZONA GAME & FISH COMMISSION

The Arizona Game and Fish Commission is charged with managing our state's wildlife.

Do you believe that wildlife should be managed not for the benefit of special interest groups but to produce balanced ecosystems in which all, native species may thrive? Yes.

Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 17-201 requires that members of the Arizona Game and Fish Commission must be “well informed on the subject of wildlife and its conservation.”

Some people believe that holding a hunting or fishing license should be an additional defacto requirement for Arizona Game & Fish Commissioners. Last session a well-qualified candidate for the Commission came under criticism because she was not a hunter. The candidate is a wildlife biologist and former employee of the Arizona Game & Fish Department, and yet was denied a vote by the full Senate.

Do you believe that candidates for the Arizona Game & Fish Commission should be based upon their qualifications irrespective of whether they hunt or fish? Yes.

ARIZONA ROADLESS AREAS

According to the Forest Service, roadless areas function as biological strongholds and places of refuge for many animal species—from wide-ranging large mammals, such as grizzly bears, to narrowly distributed bird species, and other small animals. Nationwide, these roadless areas provide habitat for, or affect, more than 220 threatened, endangered, and proposed species, and 1,930 sensitive species. Within Arizona, there are currently 71 species of animals and plants that are listed as threatened, endangered, or proposed for listing under the Endangered Species Act. For some species with limited populations, the protection of National Forest roadless areas may represent their final, critical refuge.

Arizona's roadless areas may be threatened by logging, road construction and other forms of development. Just over 10 percent of Arizona's national forests are free of roads, and these lands provide far-reaching values to the wildlife that rely on them and the people who visit them.

The original rule provided roadless areas the protections that so many Americans have sought. This rule was not preserved, but now there is an

opportunity to return the protections it once offered to Arizona's remaining roadless lands.

Do you support full protection of all roadless lands in Arizona's national forests for the benefit of the state's wildlife, habitat, and citizens? Yes.

MEXICAN GRAY WOLF REINTRODUCTION

As a keystone predator, the Mexican Gray wolf is an integral component of the ecosystems. And yet over the past century wolves have been systematically exterminated, primarily as the result of livestock conflicts. By the 1970's the Mexican Gray wolf had been extirpated throughout the U.S. Now protected by the Endangered Species Act, the Mexican Gray wolf is getting a second chance through a recovery program led by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and state agencies. However, Mexican wolf recovery in the Southwest has been compromised by various factors. The fact that they are captive-bred affects their ability to survive in the wild. Moreover, their designated recovery area is not large enough to accommodate the wolves. Another problem is that some ranchers do not dispose of carcasses. This may result in wolves that feed on livestock.

According to a recent NAU poll, Arizonans overwhelmingly support the recommendation of biologists to let endangered Mexican Gray wolves roam over a wider area of the Southwest and return to northern Arizona forests and mountains.

Do you support the Mexican Gray wolf Recovery Program? Yes.

Would you support the recommendation of biologists to expand the boundaries for Mexican Gray wolf reintroduction to wider areas of suitable habitat in Arizona and New Mexico? Yes.

Do you support biologists' recommendation to require livestock operators on public land to take some responsibility for carcass disposal to reduce the likelihood that wolves become habituated to feeding on livestock? Yes.

CITIZENS' VOTING RIGHTS

Arizona's public initiative process is a constitutional right which was enacted at statehood in 1912. The first initiative was for women's suffrage, and it passed by a margin of greater than two to one that same year.

Arguably the most important tool for protecting Arizona's animals is the public initiative process. Thanks to grassroots citizens' ballot measures, cruel leghold traps were banned on public lands in 1994, and cockfighting was outlawed in 1998. And yet citizen initiatives are under continual attack from legislative bills aimed at eroding public voting rights.

Do you support Arizona's public initiative process? Yes.

Do you believe that the Legislature should not attempt to dismantle the constitutional voting rights of Arizona citizens? Yes.

PET SAFETY

Every year numerous pets, wildlife, and even children are poisoned because they are attracted to the sweet taste of antifreeze. These poisonings could be greatly reduced by including an inexpensive bittering agent in engine coolant and antifreeze. Some states have passed legislation requiring bittering agents in antifreeze, and Congress is considering a similar federal law.

Do you support legislation which would require the addition of a bittering agent to antifreeze? Yes.

ANIMAL CONTROL

PET OVERPOPULATION

Every year, tens of thousands of cats and dogs are killed in shelters throughout Arizona. Taxpayers bear the cost of picking up, housing, and ultimately euthanizing these homeless or unwanted animals.

The pet overpopulation crisis is the direct result of irresponsible breeding and animals left unaltered in our communities. Consider that in a period of seven

years, one cat and her young can produce 420,000 kittens. Only by implementing widespread spay neuter programs will we be able to stop pet overpopulation.

Would you support better Animal Control laws to help alleviate the pet overpopulation problem? Yes.

Would you support a revision of Arizona's Spay Neuter Ordinance ([A.R.S. 11-1022](#)) to address the problem of unaltered animals?

Yes. They can start on our property.

ANIMAL CONTROL AGENCIES

In Arizona, Animal Control agencies fall under different departments in various jurisdictions. Sometimes they fall under health departments and other times under police or sheriff's departments. The lack of uniform regulatory structure has resulted in animal control procedures that do not conform to conventional protocol. Over the past decade some small counties in Arizona have hired a government agency to shoot stray dogs.

Would you support a more uniform regulatory structure and procedures for Animal Control agencies in Arizona? Yes.

ANIMAL TESTING LABORATORY IN CHANDLER

Covance Laboratories recently submitted its application to build an almost 600,000 sq. ft. animal testing facility in Chandler, a move that has raised concerns among citizens and animal advocates. Covance was recently fined \$8,720 by the USDA for 16 citations (three of which involved lab monkeys) based upon documented allegations of striking, choking, and tormenting primates at its Virginia facility.

Covance has been scrutinized for alleged animal abuse throughout the world. In addition to testing drugs, food additives, and cosmetics ingredients on animals, the company is one of the world's largest breeder of dogs for use in experiments, and one of the largest importers of primates in the U.S.

In 1989, Covance – known then as Hazleton – imported two shipments of Ebola virus-infected monkeys to its Reston, Virginia facility, just 10 miles from Washington D.C. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) ordered a military

tactile unit in full hazmat gear to evacuate the buildings housing the monkeys, kill the animals, and destroy the building. In 1990, more Ebola infected monkeys were shipped to Hazleton facilities in Texas and Virginia. And in 1996, monkeys infected with Ebola virus were again found at the Texas Hazleton facility.

The plan that Covance submitted includes an animal carcass incinerator. The presence of a hazardous waste incinerator could affect the entire community by releasing toxins such as sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide and other dangerous chemicals. The facility will be located just two miles from schools and neighborhoods.

Based upon Covance's record of animal abuse and importation of infected primates as well as the potential for health hazards to citizens, do you oppose the presence of this animal testing facility in our community? Yes.

OTHER ISSUES

Do you have further comments, questions, or concerns related to animals in Arizona?

Thank you for your time. Please return the completed questionnaire to:

Humane Voters of Arizona

Email to: HumaneVotersArizona@cox.net